

18 JULY 2018

---

# Differences between RiskCalc™ Results Summary Screen and Downloadable PDF and Excel Reports

---

## Contact

Moody's Analytics Support  
+1-212-553-1653  
MA\_support@moodys.com  
<http://www.moodysanalytics.com/support>

**CONFIDENTIAL**

This document contains information proprietary to Moody's Analytics, Inc. ("Moody's") and its affiliates. This information may not be reproduced, disclosed, or used in whole or in part without the express written permission of Moody's.

## Description

Moody's Analytics discovered that when Multiple Financial Statements were calculated using the Credit Cycle Adjusted (CCA) EDF™ on the RiskCalc website, results calculated and displayed on the Results Summary screen for multiple financial statements might have differed from the results calculated and displayed in the PDF and Excel reports which are available for download on the Results Summary screen. This defect was introduced when the new version of RiskCalc was released in April 2018.

The primary driver of the issue is a difference in the logic of the adjustment to the Analysis Date on the Results Summary screen compared to the PDF and Excel report—this issue only impacted certain Analysis Dates.

No differences occur between the Results Summary screen and the PDF and Excel reports for results calculated based on a single financial statement or for results calculated in Financial Statement Only (FSO) mode.

In addition, EDF metrics calculated based on single or multiple financial statements through an API integration or through batch processing are also not affected.

## Impact

This issue affects any firm processed on the Financial Information screen with the setting 'Multiple Statements' selected and at least two or more financial statements displayed. The issue caused the Analysis Date calculated on the Results Summary screen and the date calculated in the PDF and Excel reports to be up to 3 days apart. Only results generated in CCA mode are affected.

For a financial statement dated at the end of a month, the Analysis Date should have been adjusted to the first day of the month following the three months that were added to the financial statement date.

*For example*, the following statement dates were impacted:

1. January 31<sup>st</sup> should have adjusted Analysis Date to May 1<sup>st</sup> but was erroneously adjusted to April 30<sup>th</sup>.
2. March 31<sup>st</sup> should have adjusted Analysis Date to July 1<sup>st</sup> but was erroneously adjusted to June 30<sup>th</sup>.
3. August 31<sup>st</sup> should have adjusted Analysis Date to December 1<sup>st</sup> but was erroneously adjusted to November 30<sup>th</sup>.

In CCA mode, differences between the Results Summary screen and the PDF and Excel reports include 1-year and 5-year EDF (Expected Default Frequency), Implied Ratings, and Percentiles; 1-

year to 5-year Cumulative, Forward, and Annualized EDFs; and 1-year EDF and Implied Ratings for Time Series.

## Resolution

On July 14, 2018 we updated RiskCalc and fixed the above issue.

We recommend you recalculate any firms that meet the description in this issue alert.

We apologize for any inconvenience this issue has caused. We are available to answer any questions you might have.

## Contact Us

For more information and details, please contact [MA\\_support@moodys.com](mailto:MA_support@moodys.com).